

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 1ST FEBRUARY 2007 / 12TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 3478 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

KOLAVAYAL ASSAINAR, KOLAVAYAL HOUSE,
KOLAVAYAL P.O., ANANDASRAM VIA.,
KANHANGAD, KASARGOD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SURESH KUMAR KODOTH

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE DRUG INSPECTOR, KASARGOD.
2. THE ASSISTANT DRUGS CONTROLLER &
LICENSING AUTHORITY, KANNUR.
3. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY, HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 01/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN, J

W.P(C).No.3478 OF 2007

Dated this the 1st day of February, 2007

JUDGMENT

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader.

The petitioner is aggrieved by Ext.P6, an order issued by the second respondent. The relevant statute provides an appeal with a time limit of three months. Obviously, that time has not run out. The petitioner seeks an order that the impugned decision may be kept in abeyance enabling him to prefer an appeal. I am not impressed. However, without stating anything on merits, this writ petition is disposed of directing that if the petitioner files a statutory appeal and requests for suspension of the impugned order, the appellate authority will consider the application for such interlocutory relief at the earliest, at any rate, within a period of one week of filing the appeal.

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
Judge

k kb.